## Chapter 18 - Comparisons of Proportions or Odds

## Case 18.1.1. Obesity and Heart Disease - An Observational Study. $R \& S$ p.550.

Step 1: Input the data directly into a worksheet. Input the data into columns directly. Name Column 1 as CVD_Yes and name Column 2 as CVD_No. Under Column 1, input the values 16 and 7 in column 1 from R\&S Display 18.1. Under Column 2, input the values 2045 and 1044 in column 2 from $\boldsymbol{R} \& \boldsymbol{S}$ Display 18.1.

## Data Display

| Row | CVD_Yes | CVD_No |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 16 | $2 \overline{0} 45$ |
| 2 | 7 | 1044 |

Step 2: Construct a z- C.I. interval for the true proportion of CVD deaths in the population of obese Samoan women. Go to Stat $\rightarrow$ Basic Statistics $\rightarrow$ 1-Proportion; click on Summarized Data; for results, see $\boldsymbol{R} \& S$ Display 18.4.


## Test and Cl for One Proportion

```
Sample X N Sample p 95% CI
1 16 2061 0.007763 (0.003974, 0.011552)
Using the normal approximation.
```

Next, construct a z- C.I. interval for the true proportion of CVD deaths in the population of non-obese Samoan women. Go to Stat $\rightarrow$ Basic Statistics $\rightarrow$ 1-Proportion; click on Summarized Data; for results,

## Test and Cl for One Proportion

```
Sample X N Sample p 95% CI
1 7 1051 0.006660 (0.001743, 0.011578)
Using the normal approximation.
```

Step 3: Test for equality of two true population proportions, viz., the proportion of CVD deaths in the population of obese Samoan women and the proportion of CVD deaths in the population of non-obese Samoan women. Go to Stat $\rightarrow$ Basic Statistics $\rightarrow$ 2-Proportion2; click on Summarized Data, input data for obese women into First and data for non-obese women into Second; click on options, select Alternative "greater than" and click on Use pooled estimate of p for test. For formula details, see $\boldsymbol{R} \& \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{p}$. $555-557$. For results, see $\boldsymbol{R} \& S$ Display 18.5.


## Test and Cl for Two Proportions

```
Sample X N Sample p
1 16 2061 0.007763
2 7 1051 0.006660
Difference = p (1) - p (2)
Estimate for difference: 0.00110290
95% lower bound for difference: -0.00410701
Test for difference = 0 (vs > 0): Z = 0.34 P-Value = 0.367
Fisher's exact test: P-Value = 0.462
```

Case 18.1.2. Vitamin C and the Common Cold -A Randomized Experiment. R\&S p.551552.

Data Display

| Row | Cold | No_Cold |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 335 | 76 |
| 2 | 302 | 105 |



## Test and Cl for One Proportion

```
Sample X N Sample p 95% CI
1 302 407 0.742015 (0.699508, 0.784521)
Using the normal approximation.
```



Test and Cl for One Proportion

| Sample | X | N | Sample p | 95\% CI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 335 | 411 | 0.815085 | $(0.777552$, |

Using the normal approximation.


## Test and CI for Two Proportions

```
Sample X N Sample p
1 335 411 0.815085
2 302 407 0.742015
Difference = p (1) - p (2)
Estimate for difference: 0.0730704
95% lower bound for difference: 0.0254815
Test for difference = 0 (vs > 0): Z = 2.53 P-Value = 0.006
Fisher's exact test: P-Value = 0.007
```


## Discussion of Odds and Ratio of Odds

Enter data into Minitab as follows:

| Treatment Cold |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | Count |  |
| C | Yo | 302 |
| C | No | 105 |
| Placebo | Yes | 335 |
| Placebo | No | 76 |


| 閵 Worksheet 1 *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | C1-T | C2-T | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 |
|  | Treatment | Cold | Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Placebo | Yes | 335 | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Placebo | No | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | VitC | Yes | 302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | VitC | No | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \cdot \square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Go to Stat $\rightarrow$ Regression $\rightarrow$ Binary Logistic Regression. In Response window, enter C2 and in Frequency window, enter C3. In Categorical Predictor window, center C1. Click OK.


## Binary Logistic Regression: Cold versus Treatment

```
Link Function: Logit
Response Information
Variable Value Count
Cold Yes 637 (Event)
    No 181
    Total }81
Frequency: Count
Logistic Regression Table
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & Odds & 95\% & CI \\
\hline Predictor & Coef & SE Coef & Z & P & Ratio & Lower & Upper \\
\hline Constant & 1.48340 & 0.127052 & 11.68 & 0.000 & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Treatment \\
VitC
\end{tabular} & -0.426931 & 0.170227 & -2.51 & 0.012 & 0.65 & 0.47 & 0.91 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Log-Likelihood = -429.145
Test that all slopes are zero: G = 6.357, DF = 1, P-Value = 0.012
* NOTE * No goodness of fit test performed.
* NOTE * The model uses all degrees of freedom.
```

| Measures of Association: <br> (Between the Response Variable and Predicted Probabilities) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pairs | Number | Percent | Summary Measures |  |
| Concordant | 35175 | 30.5 | Somers' D | 0.11 |
| Discordant | 22952 | 19.9 | Goodman-Kruskal Gamma | 0.21 |
| Ties | 57170 | 49.6 | Kendall's Tau-a | 0.04 |
| Total | 115297 | 100.0 |  |  |

